

NORTH CAROLINA REPORTS

VOLUME 341

SUPREME COURT OF NORTH CAROLINA



28 JULY 1995

6 OCTOBER 1995

RALEIGH
1996

ORDER ADOPTING RULES FOR DESIGNATION OF COMPLEX BUSINESS CASES

Pursuant to the authority of N.C.G.S. § 7A-34, the General Rules of Practice for the Superior and District Courts are amended by the adoption of an amendment to Rule 2.1 and by the adoption of new Rules 2.2 and 23.1.

Rule 2.1 shall be retitled and shall be amended to read as follows:

Designation of Exceptional Civil Cases and Complex Business Cases

(a) The Chief Justice may designate any case or group of cases as (a) exceptional or (b) "complex business." A senior resident superior court judge, chief district court judge, or presiding superior court judge may ex mero motu, or on motion of any party, recommend to the Chief Justice that a case or cases be designated as exceptional or complex business.

(b) Such recommendation for exceptional cases may include special areas of expertise needed by the judge to be assigned and may include a list of recommended judges. Every complex business case shall be assigned to a special superior court judge for complex business cases, designated by the Chief Justice under Rule 2.2, who shall issue a written opinion upon final disposition of the case.

(c) Such recommendation shall be communicated to the Chief Justice through the Administrative Office of the Courts.

(d) Factors which may be considered in determining whether to make such designations include: the number and diverse interests of the parties; the amount and nature of anticipated pretrial discovery and motions; whether the parties voluntarily agree to waive venue for hearing pretrial motions; the complexity of the evidentiary matters and legal issues involved; whether it will promote the efficient administration of justice; and such other matters as the Chief Justice shall deem appropriate.

(e) The Chief Justice may enter such orders as are appropriate for the pretrial, trial, and other disposition of such designated case or cases.

New Rule 2.2 shall be titled and read as follows:

Designation of Special Superior Court Judge for Complex Business Cases

The Chief Justice shall designate one or more superior court judges as special judges to hear and decide complex business cases as provided in Rule 2.1. Any judge so designated shall be

**ORDER ADOPTING RULES FOR DESIGNATION OF
COMPLEX BUSINESS CASES**

known as a Special Superior Court Judge for Complex Business Cases.

Comment

The portion of this rule providing for the designation of a case as “exceptional” has been in effect in North Carolina since January 5, 1988, and has been utilized numerous times in various situations. The portion of this rule providing for the designation of a “complex business case” was adopted by the North Carolina Supreme Court on August 28, 1995, as a result of a recommendation in the January 1995 ANNUAL REPORT OF THE NORTH CAROLINA COMMISSION ON BUSINESS LAWS AND THE ECONOMY chaired by the North Carolina Attorney General.

The North Carolina Commission on Business Laws and the Economy was established by an executive order of the Governor on April 19, 1994, to recommend “any needed changes in existing statutes and regulations which affect the operation of businesses in North Carolina, particularly Chapter 55 of the North Carolina General Statutes . . . and to recommend any needed new statutes, rules and regulations designed to assure that North Carolina offers a legal environment which provides the flexibility and support to allow businesses to operate successfully in this state and which will attract them to locate and incorporate here.”

The Commission’s report noted that many national corporations incorporate in the state of Delaware because of that state’s Chancery Court which provides a high level of judicial expertise on corporate law issues. It also observed the desirability of a state having a substantial body of corporate law that provides predictability for business decision making. Also, it is essential that corporations litigating complex business issues receive timely and well reasoned written decisions from an expert judge.

Accordingly, the Commission recommended that the North Carolina Supreme Court amend Rule 2.1 to allow the Chief Justice to designate certain cases as complex business cases. The Commission also recommended that the Governor appoint at least one expert in corporate law matters as a Special Judge to hear cases designated by the Chief Justice pursuant to Rule 2.2.

The term “complex business case” is purposely not defined in order to give litigants the flexibility to seek a designation as such with respect to any business issue that they believe requires special judicial expertise. It is anticipated that any case involving significant issues arising under Chapters 55, 55B, 57C, 59, 78A,

**ORDER ADOPTING RULES FOR DESIGNATION OF 739
COMPLEX BUSINESS CASES**

78B and 78C of the General Statutes of North Carolina would be designated a complex business case.

New Rule 23.1 shall be entitled and read as follows:

Summary Procedure for Significant Commercial Disputes

(a) The senior resident superior court judge of any superior court district, or a presiding judge unless prohibited by local rule may, upon joint motion or consent of all parties, order Summary Procedures For A Significant Commercial Dispute ("Summary Procedures") in any case within the subject matter jurisdiction of the superior court that does not include a claim for personal, physical or mental injury where 1) the amount in controversy exceeds \$500,000; 2) at least one party is a North Carolina citizen, corporation or business entity (or a subsidiary of such corporation or business entity) or has its principal place of business in North Carolina; and 3) all parties agree to forego any claim of punitive damages and waive the right to a jury trial. The joint motion or consent for summary procedures must be filed with the court on or before the time the answer or other responsive pleading is due.

(b) To the extent they are not inconsistent with these Rules, the North Carolina Rules of Civil Procedure shall apply to Summary Procedures.

(c) Summary Procedures are commenced by filing with the court and serving a complaint.

(d) The complaint and any accompanying documents shall be sent, via next-day delivery, to either a person identified in the agreement between the parties to receive notice of Summary Procedures or, absent such specification, to each defendant's principal place of business or residence.

(e) The complaint must state prominently on the first page that Summary Procedures are requested. The complaint also must contain a statement of the amount in controversy exclusive of interest and costs, a statement that one of the parties is a North Carolina citizen, corporation or other business entity, or a subsidiary of such corporation or business entity, or that such citizen, corporation or business entity has its principal place of business in North Carolina, and a statement that the defendant has agreed to submit to the court's jurisdiction for Summary Procedures.

740 **ORDER ADOPTING RULES FOR DESIGNATION OF
COMPLEX BUSINESS CASES**

(f) Any action pending in any other jurisdiction which could have been brought initially as a Summary Procedure in this state may, subject to the procedures of the court of the other jurisdiction, be transferred to the superior courts of this state and converted to a Summary Procedure. Any pending action in this state may be converted to a Summary Procedure subject to the provisions of this Rule 23.1. Within 15 days of transfer or conversion, the court shall hold a conference at which time a schedule for the remainder of the action shall be established that will conform as closely as feasible to these Rules. Unless cause not to do so is shown, the record from any prior proceedings shall be incorporated into the record of the Summary Procedure.

(g) A defendant shall serve an answer together with any compulsory counterclaims within thirty days after service of the complaint.

(h) A plaintiff shall serve a reply to any counterclaim within twenty days after service of the counterclaim. Any answer or reply to a counterclaim shall be accompanied by a list of persons consulted, or relied upon, in connection with preparation of the answer or reply. Crossclaims, permissive counterclaims and third-party claims are not permitted absent agreement of all parties. Crossclaims, counterclaims and third-party claims, if any, are subject to the provisions of this Rule 23.1.

(i) A party may, in lieu of an answer, respond to a complaint or counterclaim by moving to dismiss. A motion to dismiss and accompanying brief must be served within thirty days after service of the complaint upon the defendant. A motion to dismiss a counterclaim and accompanying brief must be served within twenty days after service of the counterclaim. An answering brief in opposition to a motion to dismiss is due within fifteen days after service of the motion and accompanying brief. A reply brief in support of the motion to dismiss is due within ten days after service of the answering brief. The opening and answering briefs shall be limited to twenty-five pages, and the reply brief shall be limited to ten pages. Within thirty days after the filing of the final reply brief on all motions to dismiss, if no oral argument occurs, or within thirty days of oral argument if oral argument occurs, the court will either render to the parties its decision on such motions or will provide to the parties an estimate of when such decision will be rendered. Such additional time shall not normally exceed an additional thirty days. If a motion to dismiss a claim is denied, an answer to that claim shall be filed within ten days of such denial.

**ORDER ADOPTING RULES FOR DESIGNATION OF 741
COMPLEX BUSINESS CASES**

(j) Within seven days of filing of the answer, a plaintiff shall serve upon the answering defendant a copy of each document in the possession of plaintiff that plaintiff intends to rely upon at trial, a list of witnesses that plaintiff intends to call at trial and a list of all persons consulted or relied upon in connection with preparation of the complaint. Within thirty days of the filing of the answer, the answering defendant shall provide to all other parties a list of witnesses it intends to call at trial and all documents in its possession that it intends to rely upon at trial. A plaintiff against whom a counterclaim has been asserted shall serve upon the defendant asserting the counterclaim, within thirty days after such plaintiff receives from the defendant asserting the counterclaim the materials referred to in the preceding sentence, a list of witnesses it intends to call at trial in opposition to the counterclaim, all documents in its possession that it intends to rely upon at trial in opposition to the counterclaim and all persons consulted or relied upon in connection with preparation of the reply to the counterclaim.

(k) Any party may serve upon any other party up to ten written interrogatories (with any sub-part to be counted as a separate interrogatory) within thirty days after the filing of the last answer. Responses are due within twenty days after service of the interrogatories.

(l) Any party may serve on any other party a request to produce and permit the party making the request, or someone acting on his behalf, to inspect and copy any designated documents, said request to be served within thirty days after filing of the last answer. The response to a document request is due within thirty days after service of the document request and must include production of the documents at that time for inspection and copying.

(m) Any party may serve on any other party a notice of up to four depositions to begin no sooner than seven days from service of the deposition notice and subsequent to the filing of all answers. A party may also take the deposition of any person on the other party's witness list, as well as the deposition of all affiants designated under Section (s) of this Rule. The first deposition notice by a party shall be served not later than sixty days after the filing of the last answer. All depositions to be taken by a party are to be scheduled and completed within 120 days of the filing of the last answer.

(n) Any party may serve upon any other party up to ten requests for admission (with any sub-part to be counted as a sep-

742 **ORDER ADOPTING RULES FOR DESIGNATION OF
COMPLEX BUSINESS CASES**

arate request for admission) within thirty days of the filing of the last answer. Responses are due within twenty days after service.

(o) Parties are obligated to supplement promptly their witness list, the documents they intend to rely upon at trial and their discovery responses under this Rule.

(p) Discovery disputes, at the court's option, may be addressed by a referee at the expense of the parties or by the court.

(q) Unless otherwise ordered by the court, all discovery, except for discovery contemplated by Section (s) of this Rule, shall be completed within 180 days after the filing of the last answer.

(r) There shall be no motions for summary judgment in Summary Proceedings.

(s) If the parties notify the court within seven days after the close of discovery that the parties have agreed to forego witnesses at the trial of the case, the parties may submit briefs and appendices in support of their cause as follows:

- (1) Plaintiff's Brief—thirty days following close of discovery;
- (2) Defendant's Answering Brief—within thirty days after service of plaintiff's brief; and
- (3) Plaintiff's Reply Brief—within fifteen days of service after Defendant's Answering Brief.

(t) The briefs must cite to the applicable portions of the record. Affidavits may be used but all affiants must be identified prior to the close of discovery and must, at the option of any other party, be produced for deposition within two weeks from the date discovery would otherwise close. The court shall make factual findings based upon the record presented by the parties.

(u) If the parties elect to forego witnesses at trial and submit briefs pursuant to Section (s) of this Rule, trial shall consist of oral argument, or submission on briefs if oral argument is waived by the parties with the consent of the court, to be scheduled and held by the court within one week of the close of briefing pursuant to Section (s).

**ORDER ADOPTING RULES FOR DESIGNATION OF 743
COMPLEX BUSINESS CASES**

(v) If the parties elect to present live witnesses at trial, the trial shall be scheduled to begin between thirty and sixty days after the close of discovery. Within thirty days after the close of discovery, the parties shall provide the court with an agreed upon pre-trial order. The pre-trial order shall include a summary of the claims or defenses of each party, a list of the witnesses each party expects to introduce at trial, a description of any evidentiary disputes, a statement of facts not in dispute and a statement of disputed issues of fact. Absent contrary court order, the trial shall be limited to five days, which shall be allocated equitably between the parties. Within ten days of the close of trial, each party shall file a post-trial brief including proposed findings of fact and conclusions of law. Each brief shall not exceed fifty pages.

(w) Within thirty days after the filing of the final brief, if no oral argument occurs, or within thirty days of argument if oral argument occurs, the court will either render to the parties its decision after trial or will provide the parties an estimate of when the decision will be rendered. Such additional time shall not normally exceed an additional thirty days.

(x) The schedule for trial or decision after trial or on motion to dismiss shall not be extended unless the assigned judge certifies that:

- (1) the demands of the case and its complexity make the schedule under this Rule incompatible with serving the ends of justice; or
- (2) the trial cannot reasonably be held or the decision rendered within such time because of the complexity of the case or the number or complexity of pending criminal cases.

The following comment to the new Rule 23.1 of the General Rules of Practice shall accompany the Rule:

This rule was adopted by the North Carolina Supreme Court on August 28, 1995 as a result of a recommendation in the January 1995 ANNUAL REPORT OF THE NORTH CAROLINA COMMISSION ON BUSINESS LAWS AND THE ECONOMY chaired by the North Carolina Attorney General.

In its report, the Commission observed that, historically, North Carolina has enjoyed a high quality, efficient civil justice system. In recent years, however, civil litigation (and in particular, complex commercial litigation) has become protracted and costly. This is the result of many factors, including more complex laws and regulations, legal tactics and increased caseload.

744 **ORDER ADOPTING RULES FOR DESIGNATION OF
COMPLEX BUSINESS CASES**

The North Carolina court system has responded by instituting a number of innovative programs designed to resolve civil disputes more efficiently. These include court-ordered arbitration and a pilot mediation program. Despite the success of these programs, resolution of complex business and commercial disputes in North Carolina can be slow and costly.

The Commission noted that a state court system that offers alternatives to the normal litigation process which can expedite the resolution of significant commercial and business disputes is an important element of a progressive, efficient business environment. States that can offer alternatives are more likely to attract new business organizations and incorporations as well as business expansions.

Accordingly, the Commission recommended that the State establish a summary procedure through which North Carolina citizens and business entities and their subsidiaries, and businesses which are headquartered in the State can more efficiently resolve significant commercial civil disputes. The Commission recommended that the availability of such a summary procedure be limited to civil actions in superior court where 1) at least \$500,000 is in controversy, 2) at least one party is a North Carolina citizen or corporation, and 3) all parties consent to the summary proceeding. As part of that agreement, the parties to the summary proceeding must agree to waive punitive damages and a jury trial.

The summary procedure provided for in this Rule can be utilized only with consent of all parties. It does not restrict any parties' rights and is supplementary to, and not inconsistent with, the General Statutes. (See G.S. 7A-34.) Its purpose is to provide an alternative procedure for significant commercial disputes and thereby improve the overall efficiency of the court system.

Adopted by the Court in Conference this 28 day of August, 1995. This amendment, along with the commentary thereto, shall be promulgated by publication in the advance sheets of the Supreme Court and the Court of Appeals and shall be effective upon adoption.

Orr, J.
For the Court

Witness my hand an the Seal of the Supreme Court of North Carolina, this the 28 day of August, 1995.

Christie Speir Cameron
Clerk of the Supreme Court