

An unpublished opinion of the North Carolina Court of Appeals does not constitute controlling legal authority. Citation is disfavored, but may be permitted in accordance with the provisions of Rule 30(e)(3) of the North Carolina Rules of Appellate Procedure.

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF NORTH CAROLINA

No. COA16-902

Filed: 4 April 2017

Rutherford County, No. 15 CRS 50829

STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA

v.

CHARLES CREWS

Appeal by defendant from judgment entered 17 March 2016 by Judge Robert G. Horne in Rutherford County Superior Court. Heard in the Court of Appeals 13 March 2017.

Attorney General Joshua H. Stein, by Assistant Attorney General Kathryn H. Shields, for the State.

Winifred H. Dillon for defendant-appellant.

BRYANT, Judge.

On appeal from his conviction in district court, defendant was found guilty by a jury of second-degree trespass, in violation of N.C. Gen. Stat. § 14-159.13 (2015). The trial court fined him \$100.00 and ordered him to pay \$372.50 in court costs. Defendant gave notice of appeal in open court.

Counsel appointed to represent defendant was unable to identify any issue with sufficient merit to support a meaningful argument for relief on appeal and asks

STATE V. CREWS

Opinion of the Court

that this Court conduct its own review of the record for possible prejudicial error. Counsel shows to the satisfaction of this Court that she has complied with the requirements of *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738, 18 L. Ed. 2d 493 (1967), and *State v. Kinch*, 314 N.C. 99, 331 S.E.2d 665 (1985), by advising defendant of his right to file written arguments with this Court and providing him with the documents necessary to do so.

Defendant has not filed any written arguments on his own behalf with this Court, and a reasonable time for him to do so has expired. In accordance with *Anders*, we have fully examined the record to determine whether any issues of arguable merit appear therefrom. We have been unable to find any possible prejudicial error and conclude that the appeal is wholly frivolous. The trial court's judgment is affirmed.

AFFIRMED.

Judges DAVIS and ZACHARY concur.

Report per Rule 30(e).